

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,915	06/10/2002	Kari-Anne Leth-Olsen	2002_0350A	2993	
· ·	590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ZALUKAEVA	ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		1713		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$C \circ C$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/070,915	LETH-OLSEN ET AL.			
-	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tatyana Zalukaeva	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a			
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the shortened statutory period for reply on the safer than three months after the mailing.	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 1//14/04 Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the per	riod set forth in the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	oe allowable if submitted in a ser	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	econsideration has been consid Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the company of the proposed amendment (see Explanation of the proposed amendmen	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••			
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-43</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	ved or h) disapproved by the	Evaminar			

Tatyana Zalukaeva Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of claim 44 compare to the previous claim 11 in changing the the limitation of "one step polymerization", into one step that includes both polymerization and swelling and by removing the limitation that the strt particles are "non-crosslinked".

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that, according to Kasai particles having the diameter in the range

of 0.1gm to 0.9gm as obtained by soap-free emulsion polymerization can generally be used as seed particles. (See column 8, lines 65-68 of Kasai et al.), and therefore the polymer particles prepared by the process of Kasai et al. can be used as seed particles to prepare polymer particles having a much larger particle diameter, i.e. multistep seed polymerization process. (See column 9, lines 1-4 of Kasai et al.). This is not found persuasive because in the "one step" process of the instant claims the seed particles are used that are also somehow prepared, while applicants still claim one step process with the use of PRELIMINARY prepared particles. The same in Kasai: see particles are first prepared by some process, and THEN used as seed particles in a one step polymerization to form large spherical particles.